



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

[Handwritten signature]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/578,317	05/25/2000	Boris Shkolnik	CRD0852	5734
7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
Audley A Ciamporcer Jr One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/578,317	SHKOLNIK, BORIS	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Matthew F. DeSanto		3763	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16, 18 and 19 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 6-8, 11-16, 18 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 4, 5, 9 and 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang (USPN 4,744366) and further in view of Rydell (USPN 4811737), and Burns et al. (UPSN 5176698).

Jang disclosed a balloon catheter with an outer tube (12), and inner tube with a guide wire lumen (22), a balloon (42), a vent (36), and a coupling member but fails to disclose the balloon being coupled to a syringe and the specific size of the apertures. (Figure 21)

Rydell discloses the specific size of venting ports in a balloon catheter, where the size of the hole is between 0.0005 to 0.0015 inches. (Column 3, lines 22-37 and Column 4, lines 10-24).

Burns et al. the material and the ability to use a gas permeable balloon to increase the air vented through the balloon and decrease the chance of releasing air in the blood vessel.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Jang with Rydell and Burns et al. because it is well known in the

Art Unit: 3763

medical art to use a syringe to inject fluid into a catheter to inflate a balloon (as taught by Burns et al.), and the motivation for making the apertures 0.0005 to 0.0015 is because this size would have been able to permit air to be vented and preclude the outflow of liquid as well as prevent the inflow of air back in the catheter as taught by Rydell col. 4, lines 10-24.

2. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang and Burns et al. and Rydell as applied to claims 11-14 above, and further in view of Carlblom (USPN 5637365) and Follmer et al. (5728065).

Jang and Burns et al. and Rydell disclosed the claimed invention having a balloon being made of a polymer and the polymer being polyolefin, but never described the characteristics of the balloon, such as the balloon being gas permeable, Jang and Burns et al. and Rydell failed to disclose placing the balloon in a protective tube.

Carlblom discloses that polyolefin is a "gas-permeable material." Column 10, lines 18-49.

Follmer et al. discloses the use of a constraining member (ref #. 200), to be placed over the inflatable balloon

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary to make the inflatable balloon out of a gas permeable material by Carlblom and to place the balloon in a constraining member taught by Follmer et al. with the invention of Jang and Burns et al. and Rydell.

The suggestion/motivation for making the balloon out of a gas permeable material is taught by Jang and Burns et al. and further supported by Carlblom col. 10,

Art Unit: 3763

lines 18-40, where Carlblom teaches that polyolefin is a polymer that is gas permeable, and the motivation for the protective tube or constraining member was to limit the radial expansion of the balloon but at the same time expanding the balloon allowing for a greater rate of gas and liquid to be flushed out of the vent hole, under normal inflation pressure (Follmer et al. column 7, line 45-column 8, line 14).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
4. If the subject matter of claim 4 is incorporated into claim 11, then claim 11 would be in better form for allowance.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16, 18, 19 have been considered and are persuasive.
6. The applicant argues that the vent holes allow air to pass but not fluid such as blood as disclosed in the specification of Jang. This language is disclosed in claim 4 and 9 and therefore the examiner has objected to those claims as being allowable if put into independent form.
7. The examiner keeps the other rejections because looking at Figure 6 and 19 of Jang, one of ordinary skill would interpret the holes going through the inflation lumens and thus being capable of being used as a vent aperture and thus the 103 Rejections.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Matthew DeSanto
Art Unit 3763
May 31, 2005



NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700